

Steve Fisher / RAL

SPIDER

- At the last meeting I was not too optimistic about SPIDER.
- The current status is that because of disarray in the LCB meeting, work is suspended while Delfino looks into it.
- Main problem appears to be the idea of the Common *Project.*
 - Experiments not all behind them
 - Might do better working with one willing experiment
 - Have to wait for Manuel
- Something seems sure to be changed

CodeCheck: Do we wait?

No action is required
Spider CodeCheck product gives us no advantages today.
IPT are looking at another possible (very expensive) tool
Wait and see...

SRT: Do we wait?

The SPIDER SRT work was driven by ATLAS CMS have started developing their own system (SCRAM) We could now do nothing • I think we should go ahead as planned without being held back by the others - why? It seems unlikely that we will get an official HEP wide SRT. There are criticisms of the existing SRT

If we go ahead

Create requirements document - quickly
We have benefited from the input from the other experiments as we started with a view too biased by the current SRT implementation

Get it reviewed
must include on line people
must include existing SRT users and others

If we go ahead (2)

Compare it to existing solutions

SRT in its various guises

CMT

SCRAM

and free non-HEP solutions.

- If it looks like we need a different tool need careful evaluation which includes an estimate of the costs.
- Next step depends upon which appears to be the best product and readiness of the author to work with us.



Do we continue?

August, 99

SPIDER/ SMF

7