


Muon Software - Status & Plans



Software Workshop
CERN
29 November 1999

G. Poulard

Muon Software



- Status & Plans for
 - conventional software
 - Database
 - Simulation
 - Reconstruction
- Testbeam
 - organization
- Summary


[from last Muon Workshop - Eilat (November 15-20 1999)]

Muon “conventional” Software



- simulation & reconstruction fully integrated in ATLAS framework
- some studies still needed in next year
 - CSC's being added
 - more realistic RPC's
 - improvements needed both in simulation and reconstruction
 - don't forget Trigger Technical Proposal
- keep the full chain running !

Muon Database - Recent progress



- Muon Detector Description Model
 - Filling of RPC Hierarchical Geometry from AMDB
 - | A bottom-up approach reading top-down data
 - | A worthwhile and useful exercise
 - testing of transformation methods
 - | Input/decoding of GEANT3 digits
 - | Checked digit positions against the new methods
 - | Subsequent tuning of model
 - Test of feasibility to port model to AGDD
 - | Hierarchy can be coded into XML files
 - | Need mechanism for parameterization
 - | Need serious identifier mechanism

Muon Database - Future Plans



- The ATLAS Muon Spectrometer Database Task
 - Draft 1.0
 - Identifies what we feel are important
 - | tasks to address in the coming year
 - | goals to be reached for the long term
 - Explains Recent Detector Description Developments
 - Discusses General issues of
 - | Event Model, Calibration, Alignment and Production Data
 - | Insulation from Database Technology
 - | Development of Application-Independent Interfaces
 - Describe the Task Coordination and Resource Needs
 - Is a Working Document

Muon Database - Future Plans



- Evolutionary Development - An Example : AGDD
 - AMDB works !
 - Software relies on it and on its interface
 - | *muonbox*, GEANT4 simulation, *persint*, ...
 - It must continue to work and develop
 - | as needed by the dependent applications
 - | until a well-proven replacement exists
 - Any replacement must
 - | provide the exact same geometry
 - | provide additional functionality which merits the effort
 - | not disrupt the current working software (cause a major break)
 - | fit in the overall ATLAS framework

Muon Database



- Detector Description in a good shape
 - | AMDB versus AGDD
 - | AMDB is the baseline
 - | AGDD to be worked out
 - understand the implications of using XML & AGDD
- Event Model as well
 - | one should use PASO (use existing 'Event' package)
- Calibrations & alignment
 - | will be considered
- Plans

Muon Database - Plans

- Short term (*coming months*)
 - Completion of Barrel Detector Description
 - Interface of Barrel Description to AMDB
 - Interface of Barrel Description to G4
 - testing against GEANT3 Description
- Medium term (*1-2 years*)
 - Completion of Entire Muon Detector description (2000)
 - completion of Event Digit (2000)
 - Evolution of AMDB to general format
 - Storage of Reconstructed objects
- Long term (*2-5 years*)
 - completion of event model
 - persistency studies and development
 - integration of Alignment/calibration/Production Data in detector store

Muon Simulation



- intensive effort started
 - training
 - set of examples
 - ...
- Questions
 - how to start the new simulations
 - how to launch the testbeam simulations
 - how to deal with the existing software
 - how to compare the new results

Muon Simulation - Plans



- Long time scale (next 5 years)
 - testbeam simulation for the different setups
 - subdetectors/services/dead material simulation for the final description of the subdetectors
 - optimization studies as :
 - performance for the different subdetectors
 - cracks
 - backgrounds
 - materials
 - comparison with real data

Muon Simulation - Plans



- Short time scale (next 1-2 years)
 - testbeam simulations
 - | to exercise ourselves on the new software
 - | to test the tools we adopted
 - | to set the comparison to real data
 - | to settle a complete chain
 - | organization being setup
 - responsible for each subdetector
 - UML will be investigated in parallel

Muon Simulation - Actions



■ Short term actions

- AMDB to G4 to reconstruction - December 1999
- AMDB'/AMDB to G4 (G4 vs. G3) acceptance studies - February 2000
- testbeam simulations H8, X5, test-sites (G4 vs. G3) - June 2000
- G4 vs. G3 (Phys. TDR figures) - December 2000
- AMDB+ to G4 (RPC overlaps,...) - June 2000
- current simulation on testbeam to G4 - February 2000
- AMDB to G4 for TGC's - February 2000
- AMDB to G4 for CSC's - February 2000
- XML to G4 for the barrel (MDT+RPC) (XML vs. AMDB) - April 2000
- Dead material, feet, shields in G4 with AMDB - March 2000

Muon Reconstruction

- Pending issues



- Detector Description
 - CSC's not included in Physics TDR
 - more realistic RPC's
- Alignment
 - reconstruction algorithms do not include alignment corrections
 - it is needed, easy ?
- Event Filter
 - code to be provided
 - discussion needed

Muon Reconstruction

- to new Framework



- Wait for new Framework
- Meanwhile
 - Muon reconstruction packages should be ported into PASO
 - | Provisional interfacing
 - | Services evaluation and improvements
 - DD generic model
 - Event completeness
 - Event access
 - | Output side
 - track class definition (in common with ID)
 - refer to “entities list”

Muon Reconstruction

- Packages



■ Muonbox

- main package used up to now
- the only tool facing fully the complexity of the reconstruction in the Muon system
- will still be needed and will still evolve
- will be *wrapped* not translated


■ AMBER

- a real OO/C++ package
- performance not known
- port to UNIX expected soon
- maintenance under discussion

■ Another package ?

Muon Reconstruction


- Short term (February 2000)



- Release the latest Muonbox version
- Port AMBER to Unix (if maintenance insured)
- wrapping of Muonbox
- Push for completion of GEANT4 from AMDB and test with reconstruction packages

Muon Reconstruction

- Medium term (Summer 2000)



- “go to” PASO and evaluation
- Plan for AMBER performance evaluation
- Evaluate if a new reconstruction package is needed
- Common track definition
- AGDD evaluation for reconstruction
- alignment corrections in reconstruction
- working procedure with Event Filter group

Muon Testbeam Software



- From discussion during Muon week
 - first time it is discussed
 - **testbeam** software is part of general software
 - discussion *offline/testbeam* should be intensified
 - involvement of *task leaders* in **testbeam** discussions
 - responsibility on **testbeam** side to be identified
 - Short term projects are already launched
 - Medium term projects (some) are identified
 - simulation, reconstruction, ... around H8 (and others)
 - should be considered as pilot projects for future software
 - time scale should fit **testbeam**
 - Long term projects should also be addressed

Summary



- Conventional software still in use
- Effort to OO/C++ started
 - Short term defined
 - Long term still depending on decisions on Architecture
- *Testbeam* organization now considered
- Documents (status & plans)
 - first drafts available
- MoU
 - first time it was discussed
 - well received (Amber)
 - how to proceed ?