Search for Direct CP Violation and |V_{us}| Measurement from NA48/2 K[±] Decays

Rainer Wanke

Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz

Flavianet Kaon Workshop

Anacapri, June 12, 2008

Overview



The NA48 Detector

Muon veto sytem

Hadron calorimeter Liquid krypton calorimeter Hodoscope Drift chamber 4 Anti counter 7 **Detector components:** Helium tank Drift chamber 3 **Magnet spectrometer** Magnet Drift chamber 2 4 sets of drift chambers. Anti counter 6 $\Delta p/p \leq 1\%$ for p = 20 GeV/c. Drift chamber 1 **Hodoscopes:** Kevlar window Fast trigger, precise time measurement ($\sigma_t = 150$ ps). Liquid Krypton Calorimeter (LKr)

$\Delta E/E \approx 1.0\%$ for $E_{e,\gamma} = 20$ GeV/c.

Hadron calorimeter, photon vetos, muon counters

Search for Direct CP Violation in $K^{\pm} \rightarrow 3\pi$ Decays

${\cal CP}$ violation in ${ m K}^{\pm} ightarrow 3\pi$:

Possibility for **direct** CP **violation** in the K^{\pm} system:

- SM $\sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-5} 10^{-6})$ (Gamiz, Prades, Scimeni, JHEP 10 (2003) 042).
- New Physics (SUSY) could boost it up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$.

(Experimental limit so far: $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.)

Method:

- **Rate asymmetry** $\Gamma(\mathbf{K}^+) \neq \Gamma(\mathbf{K}^-)$ experimentally not simple.
- Better: Measure difference in Dalitz plot slopes!

K^{$$\pm$$} $\rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi\pi$ matrix element:

 $|\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})|^{2} \sim 1 + \mathbf{g}\,\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{h}\mathbf{u}^{2} + \mathbf{k}\mathbf{v}^{2} + \cdots$

with:
$$u = (s_3 - s_0)/m_\pi^2$$
, $v = (s_2 - s_1)/m_\pi^2$

Direct CP violating asymmetry:

$$\mathbf{A_g} = \frac{\mathbf{g^+} - \mathbf{g^-}}{\mathbf{g^+} + \mathbf{g^-}} = \frac{\mathbf{\Delta g}}{\mathbf{2g}}$$

 $g^+ \equiv g(K^+ \to \pi^+ \pi \pi)$ $g^- \equiv g(K^- \to \pi^- \pi \pi)$

NA48/2 experiment in 2003/2004:

Simultaneous K^+ and K^- beams with $p_{K^{\pm}} = (60 \pm 3)$ GeV/c.



Symmetrization of the running conditions:

- Weekly polarity change of the kaon beam-line (achromat).
- Daily polarity change of spectrometer magnet. (every few hours in 2004)



Example: Data taking from Aug 6 to Sep 7, 2003:



Data selection:

- $\blacksquare \quad \mathbf{K}^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}:$
 - Just three charged tracks with common vertex, consistent with a $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ decay.
 - No LKr information needed.
 - **Trigger:** 3-track-trigger, integrated efficiency \sim 99.9%.

$|\mathbf{K}^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mathbf{0}} \pi^{\mathbf{0}}:|$

- One charged track and 4 photons, consistent with a $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ decay from a common vertex.
- **Trigger:** 1 track $+ \ge 2$ Lkr clusters. Missing-mass requirement for $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}$ suppression. Integrated efficiency $\approx 99.75\%$

Total Yields: (practically bkg-free)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}^{\pm} &\to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \text{:} \quad \mathbf{3.1} \times \mathbf{10^{9} \text{ events}} \\ \mathbf{K}^{\pm} &\to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mathbf{0}} \pi^{\mathbf{0}} \text{:} \quad \mathbf{9.1} \times \mathbf{10^{7} \text{ events}} \end{split}$$



Dalitz plot projections on u: (full NA48/2 data set)



Extraction of Δg :

 $|\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{u})|^{\mathbf{2}} pprox \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{g}\,\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{h}\,\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{2}} + \cdots$ (k v^2 negligible)

$$\Rightarrow \left| \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{u}) \right| \equiv \frac{\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbf{u})}{\mathbf{N}^-(\mathbf{u})} \propto \mathbf{1} + \frac{\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{g}\,\mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{g}\,\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{h}\,\mathbf{u}^2} \right|$$

Cancellation of systematics: Compare similar data samples:

 K^+ and K^- with opposite Achromat polarity (**U**p \leftrightarrow **D**own) and opposite Spectrometer polarity (**J**ura \leftrightarrow **S**aleve)





Fit quadruple ratio:

 $\mathbf{R^4}(\mathbf{u}) \equiv \mathbf{R_{UJ}}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{R_{US}}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{R_{DJ}}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{R_{DS}}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{N} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta \mathbf{g} \, \mathbf{u}}{1 + \mathbf{g} \, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{h} \, \mathbf{u}^2} \right)^{\mathbf{T}}$

Slope difference for $\mathbf{K}^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$:

 $\Delta \mathbf{g}^{\pi^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = (\mathbf{0.7} \pm \mathbf{0.7}_{\mathsf{stat}} \pm \mathbf{0.4}_{\mathsf{trig}} \pm \mathbf{0.6}_{\mathsf{syst}}) imes \mathbf{10^{-4}}$ Slope difference for $\mathbf{K}^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mathbf{0}} \pi^{\mathbf{0}}$: $\Delta \mathbf{g}^{\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}} = (\mathbf{2.2} \pm \mathbf{2.1}_{\mathsf{stat}} \pm \mathbf{0.7}_{\mathsf{syst}}) imes \mathbf{10^{-4}}$ $\mathbf{K}^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ $\mathbf{K}^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mathbf{0}} \pi^{\mathbf{0}}$ χ^2 / ndf χ^2 / ndf 29.76 / 26 26.69 / 26 (ງ ⊇^{10.7} ຊ*10.6 (ع^{10.6} ۲₄ 10.5 n 10.38 ± 0.00 n 10.41 ± 0.01 6.604e-05 ± 7.218e-05 Δq $\textbf{0.0002225} \pm \textbf{0.0002079}$ 10.5 10.4 10.4 10.3 10.3 10.2 10.2 10.1 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 -1.5 -0.5 и 1.5 -1 0.5 1 0 ...

$\mathbf{K}^{\pm} ightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ 10 ⁴	$ imes \delta({f \Delta g})$	$\mathbf{K}^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} 10^{4}$	$ imes \delta({f \Delta g})$
Spectrom. alignment	± 0.1	Shower overlap	± 0.5
Spectrom. B field	± 0.3	L1 inefficiency	± 0.1
Beam geometry	± 0.2	L2 inefficiency	± 0.3
Pile-up	± 0.2	Stray magn. fields	± 0.1
Resolution/fitting	± 0.2	Pile-up	± 0.2
Total	± 0.5	Total	±0.6
Trigger (stat.)	± 0.4		

Check analyses with non-asymmetric control samples:





Rainer Wanke, Universität Mainz, Flavianet Kaon Workshop, Anacapri, June 12, 2008 – p.13/27

For final result on charge asymmetry build

$$\mathbf{A_g} = rac{\mathbf{g}^+ - \mathbf{g}^-}{\mathbf{g}^+ + \mathbf{g}^-} = rac{\mathbf{\Delta g}}{\mathbf{2g}}$$

with: $\mathbf{g}(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = -0.21134$ and $\mathbf{g}(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}) = 0.626$.

<u>Final NA48/2 result for $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$:</u> (EPJ C52 (2007) 852)

 $\mathbf{A_g} = (-1.5 \pm 1.5_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.9_{\text{trig}} \pm 1.3_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-4}$

Final NA48/2 result for $\mathbf{K}^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mathbf{0}} \pi^{\mathbf{0}}$: (as above)

 $\mathbf{A_g} = (\mathbf{1.8} \pm \mathbf{1.7}_{\mathsf{stat}} \pm \mathbf{0.6}_{\mathsf{syst}}) imes \mathbf{10^{-4}}$

 \implies No indication for CP violation in K^{\pm} beyond the SM!

Comparsion with previous measurements:



$\left| V_{us} \right|$ Measurement

Minimum bias data taking in 2003:

- 8 hours low intensity K^+/K^- with min. bias trigger.
 - \implies Measurement of leptonic and semileptonic decays.

Method of the Measurement:

- Normalize K_{e3} and $K_{\mu3}$ to $K_{2\pi}$
 - \implies very similar topologies and selection criteria.
- Select one track + two photons, consistent with a π⁰ from a common decay vertex.
- Distinction of K_{l3} and $K_{2\pi}$ mainly through kinematics.



$|V_{us}|$ Measurement from K_{l3}^{\pm}

Particle identification: Illustration from data Events 5000 Electrons: E/p > 0.95 π e 4000 Efficiency \approx 98.6% 3000 2000 Pions: E/p < 0.951000 0 \implies Efficiency \approx **99.5**% 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0 E/P Muons: In-time hits in first two muon counters. \implies Efficiency \approx **99.8**% (a) (b)



Rainer Wanke, Universität Mainz, Flavianet Kaon Workshop, Anacapri, June 12, 2008 – p.18/27

Yields after all selection criteria applied:

Channel	Acc imes P-ID	\mathbf{K}^+	\mathbf{K}^{-}	Background
Ke3	$\sim 7.0\%$	56195	30 898	< 0.1%
$\mathbf{K} \mu 3$	$\sim 9.3\%$	49364	$\mathbf{27525}$	$\sim 0.2\%$
$\mathbf{K2}\pi$	$\sim 14.2\%$	461 837	$\mathbf{256619}$	$\sim 0.3\%$



Rainer Wanke, Universität Mainz, Flavianet Kaon Workshop, Anacapri, June 12, 2008 – p.19/27

 $|V_{us}|$ Measurement from K_{l3}^{\pm}

Radiative corrections:

- Using Ginsberg prescription for real and virtual photons.
- Real bremsstrahlung photons added with PHOTOS.



\Rightarrow Very good description of data!



Form factors:

- Quadratic expansion for $f_+(t)$ ($\lambda'_+ = 0.02485(163)$, $\lambda''_+ = 0.00192(62)$) and linear expansion for $f_0(t)$ ($\lambda_0 = 0.00196(34)$) from PDG'06.
- Form factor variations within their errors and difference to pole model parametrization taken as systematic uncertainties.

Results:

Accuracy of 0.4%!

Systematics:

- **Ke3/K2** π : Mainly *Ke*3, *K*2 π acceptance, trigger efficiency.
- **K** μ **3**/**K** 2π : Mainly $K\mu$ 3 form factors and Ke3, $K2\pi$ acceptance.

Statistical uncertainties dominate

$|V_{us}|$ Measurement from K_{l3}^{\pm}

Absolute BR's: (Update w.r.t. publication)

Use new KLOE measurement of $Br(K_{2\pi(\gamma)}) = 0.2065(5)(8)$, shifted (+0.06%) to $\tau_{K^{\pm}} = 12.370(19)$ ns (average PDG'06 & KLOE'08):

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{Br}(\mathbf{K}_{e3}^{\pm}) &=& 0.05104 \pm 0.00019_{\mathsf{stat}} \pm 0.00008_{\mathsf{sys}} \pm 0.00023_{\mathsf{norm}} \\ \\ \mathsf{Br}(\mathbf{K}_{\mu3}^{\pm}) &=& 0.03380 \pm 0.00013_{\mathsf{stat}} \pm 0.00006_{\mathsf{sys}} \pm 0.00015_{\mathsf{norm}} \end{array}$



Determination of $|V_{us}|$:

Use $\tau_{K^{\pm}} = 12.370(19)$ ns (average PDG'06 & KLOE'08) and $\delta_{\text{em}}^{e/\mu}$, $\delta_{SU(2)}^{e/\mu}$, $I_{K}^{e/\mu}$ from Flavianet note:

 $\mathbf{Ke3}: |\mathbf{V_{us}}| \mathbf{f_{+}}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0.21794} \, (\mathbf{43})_{\mathsf{exp}} \, (\mathbf{52})_{\mathsf{norm},\tau} \, (\mathbf{61})_{\mathsf{ext}} = \mathbf{0.2179} (\mathbf{9})$

 $\mathbf{K}\mu\mathbf{3}: |\mathbf{V_{us}}|\mathbf{f_{+}}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0.21818}\,(\mathbf{46})_{\mathsf{exp}}\,(\mathbf{52})_{\mathsf{norm},\tau}\,(\mathbf{66})_{\mathsf{ext}} = \mathbf{0.2182}(\mathbf{10})$

 \implies Very good agreement between $\mathbf{K_{e3}}$ and $\mathbf{K_{\mu 3}}$

Combination of K_{e3} and $K_{\mu3}$ (correlations taken into account):

 $|\mathbf{V_{us}}|\,\mathbf{f_+}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0.2180} \pm \mathbf{0.0008}$

Finally: Use $f_+(0)=0.964\pm0.005$ (RBC-UKQCD'07):

 $|\mathbf{V_{us}}| = 0.2261 \pm 0.0014$

Test of Lepton Universality:

```
Build ratio \mathbf{Ke3}/\mathbf{K}\mu\mathbf{3}:
```

 $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{K}\mu\mathbf{3}/\mathbf{Ke3}}=\mathbf{0.663}\,\pm\,\mathbf{0.003}_{\text{stat}}\,\pm\,\mathbf{0.001}_{\text{sys}}$

Most precise measurement so far and consistent with lepton universality (SM prediction: $\mathcal{R}_{K\mu3/Ke3} = 0.661(3)$ with $\delta_{em}^{e/\mu}$, $\delta_{SU(2)}^{e/\mu}$, $I_K^{e/\mu}$ from Flavianet note).

Turn it around: Assume lepton universality and determine f.f. slope λ_0 : (Bijnens, Colangelo, Ecker, Gasser, hep-ph/9411311)

 $\mathcal{R}_{K\mu3/Ke3} = \frac{0.645 + 2.087\,\lambda_{+} + 1.464\,\lambda_{0} + 3.375\,\lambda_{+}^{2} + 2.573\,\lambda_{0}^{2}}{1 + 3.457\,\lambda_{+} + 4.783\,\lambda_{+}^{2}}$

Use $\lambda_{+} = 0.0296 \pm 0.0008$ (PDG'06):

 $\implies \lambda_0 = 0.0155 \pm 0.0020$

Measurement of K_Se3/K_Le3

NA48/1: High-intensity K_S beam. Equal production rates of K_S and K_L at the target. \implies Can measure $K_S e^3$ decays with respect to $K_L e^3$: $\frac{dN}{dt}(\pi e\nu) \propto |\eta|^2 e^{-t/\tau_s} + e^{-t/\tau_L}$ with $\eta \equiv \frac{A(K_s e3)}{A(K_L e3)}$ Select $\pi^{\pm}e^{\mp}\nu$ regardless of K_S , K_L . 4000 E Experimental data Montecarlo $K_1 \rightarrow \pi e v$ Backgrounds are negligible. 3500 Montecarlo $K_S \rightarrow \pi e v$ 3000 In total: $\sim 400\,000$ events 2500 2000 (about 4% are K_Se3) 1500 Fit to the shape: 1000 (PLB 653 (2007) 145) 500 $|\eta|^{2} = 0.993 \pm 0.026_{\mathsf{stat}} \pm 0.022_{\mathsf{sys}}$ 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000

Use PDG'06 (Br($K_L e_3$) = 0.4053(15), $\tau_L = 51.14(21)$ ns, $\tau_S = 89.58(6)$ ps)

 $\Rightarrow \left| \, \textbf{Br}(\textbf{K_Se3}) = (\textbf{7.05} \pm \textbf{0.18}_{\mathsf{stat}} \pm \textbf{0.16}_{\mathsf{sys}}) \times \textbf{10}^{-4} \, \right|$

In good agreement with KLOE 2006, but larger error.

Z_v(cm)

Conclusions

Search for CP violation in $K^+ \rightarrow 3\pi$:

- Final result with the full NA48/2 data set.
- Limits improved by one order of magnitude to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$
 - \implies No sign for new physics.

$|\mathbf{V}_{us}|$ from \mathbf{K}_{l3} Decays:

Very precise measurements of $\Gamma(\mathbf{K}_{e3}^{\pm})/\Gamma(\mathbf{K}_{2\pi}^{\pm})$ and $\Gamma(\mathbf{K}_{\mu3}^{\pm})/\Gamma(\mathbf{K}_{2\pi}^{\pm})$.

| \mathbf{V}_{us} | determined from these data: | \mathbf{V}_{us} | = 0.2261 ± 0.0014

Ratio K_Se3/K_Le3 :

Br $(K_se3) = (7.05 \pm 0.18_{stat} \pm 0.16_{sys}) \times 10^{-4}$