SPECTROSCOPY AROUND $^{36}\mathrm{Ca}^*$

A. BÜRGER^a, F. AZAIEZ^b, M. STANOIU^c, ZS. DOMBRÁDI^d, A. ALGORA^d A. AL-KHATIB^a, B. BASTIN^e, G. BENZONI^f, R. BORCEA^g CH. BOURGEOIS^b, P. BRINGEL^a, E. CLÉMENT^h, J.-C. DALOUZYⁱ Z. DLOUHÝ^j, A. DROUART^h, C. ENGELHARDT^a, S. FRANCHOO^b ZS. FÜLÖP^d, A. GÖRGEN^h, S. GRÉVYⁱ, H. HÜBEL^a, F. IBRAHIM^b W. KORTEN^h, J. MRÁZEK ^j, A. NAVINⁱ, F. ROTARU^g P. ROUSSEL-CHOMAZⁱ, M.-G. SAINT-LAURENTⁱ, G. SLETTEN^k D. SOHLER^d, O. SORLINⁱ, CH. THEISEN^h, C. TIMIS^l, D. VERNEY^b S. WILLIAMS^l

^aHelmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Univ. Bonn, Germany
^bInstitut de Physique Nucléaire, IN2P3-CNRS, Orsay, France
^cGSI, Darmstadt, Germany
^dInstitute of Nuclear Research, Debrecen, Hungary
^eLaboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Caen, France
^fUniversità degli studi e INFN sezione di Milano, Italy
^gIFIN-HH, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania
^hDAPNIA/SPhN, CEA Saclay, France
ⁱGANIL, Caen, France
^jNuclear Physics Institute of ASCR, Řež, Czech Republic
^kNiels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Denmark
^lDepartment of Physics, University of Surrey, UK

(Received October 30, 2006)

An experiment was performed to study excited states in neutron-deficient nuclei around Ca. After a first fragmentation of the primary beam, the one-neutron knockout reaction was used to produce ³⁶Ca ions from the ³⁷Ca secondary beam and in-beam γ rays were measured. The energy of the first excited 2⁺ state in ³⁶Ca and the cross section for the 1-neutron knock-out reaction from ³⁷Ca at $\approx 45 A$ MeV were obtained. The 2⁺ energy in ³⁶Ca is compared to the mirror nucleus ³⁶S to deduce information on the isospin dependence of the nuclear force near the proton drip line. Furthermore, for two other $T_z = -2$ nuclei, ²⁸S and ³²Ar, the deexcitation of the first 2⁺ states has been observed.

PACS numbers: 21.10.-k, 23.20.Lv, 27.30.+t

(1353)

^{*} Presented at the Zakopane Conference on Nuclear Physics, September 4–10, 2006, Zakopane, Poland.

A. Bürger et al.

In recent years, the structure of extremely neutron- or proton-rich nuclei has been studied intensively, both theoretically and experimentally. In this context, the aim of the present experiment was to measure the excitation energy of the first 2^+ state in 36 Ca and compare it to its mirror nucleus 36 S. In the ground state of ³⁶S, the $\pi d_{5/2}$ and $s_{1/2}$ as well as the $\nu d_{3/2}$ orbitals are completely filled. In ³⁶Ca, the same orbitals are occupied with neutron and proton shells exchanged. Due to the tensor interaction between the neutrons in the $d_{3/2}$ orbital and the protons in the spin-orbit partner orbitals $d_{5/2}$ and $d_{3/2}$, the $\pi d_{5/2}$ orbital becomes more bound whereas the $\pi d_{3/2}$ orbital becomes less bound while the $\nu d_{3/2}$ shell being filled [1]. Therefore, filling the $\nu d_{3/2}$ shell enlarges the gaps between the $\pi s_{1/2}$ and $\pi d_{3/2}$ levels or between the $\pi s_{1/2}$ and $\pi d_{5/2}$ levels, as illustrated in Fig. 1. These shifts lead to high excitation energies for the first 2^+ states in both ${}^{36}S$ and ${}^{34}Si$. These excitation energies are comparable to the 2^+ energy in 40 Ca which has been interpreted as a sign of a spherical rigidity. For ³⁶Ca, the mirror nucleus of ³⁶S, the same picture should apply with protons and neutrons exchanged, so that also in this case a high excitation energy can be expected for the 2^+ state.



Fig. 1. Illustration of the effect due to the filling of the $\nu d_{3/2}$ orbital in ³⁶S: the tensor interaction shifts the $\pi d_{3/2}$ level up and the $\pi d_{5/2}$ level down in energy.

The experiment was performed at GANIL in Caen, France. The twostep fragmentation technique was used [2] to populate excited states in ³⁶Ca. A primary beam of ⁴⁰Ca with an energy of 95 A MeV was fragmented on a carbon foil in the SISSI target device [3]. The Alpha spectrometer, optimised for ³⁷Ca or, in a different setting, for ³⁶Ca, was used to purify the resulting beam cocktail with the help of a degrader. Event-by-event identification of the beam particles was achieved using a time measurement between the high frequency of the accelerator and the time signal from a CATS detector [4], that was placed just in front of the secondary target. In the secondary target, a ⁹Be foil of 200 mg/cm² thickness, further nucleons were removed at energies between 60 A MeV before and 35 A MeV after the target. Behind

1354

the secondary target, the produced fragments were identified through timeof-flight, $B\rho$ and energy-loss measurements in the SPEG spectrometer [5]. For some settings, suppression of the secondary beam in the focal plane necessitated the placement of an additional slit in SPEG.

Gamma-ray energies were measured with the *Château de Cristal*, an array of 74 BaF₂ detectors [6], that was placed around the Be target. The γ -ray detectors were calibrated using a ²²Na source as well as separated and sufficiently intense known transitions in the nuclei ²⁸Si, ³²S, ³⁴Ar, ²⁹Si and ³³Cl, which were also produced in the secondary target from different beam components. For the Doppler correction of γ -ray energies from inflight decays, the momentum measured in SPEG was used, assuming that the decays took place in the middle of the target. An add-back procedure was applied to reconstruct energies of Compton-scattered γ -rays. Gamma-ray spectra for the three nuclei ³⁶Ca, ³²Ar and ²⁸S are shown in Fig. 2. The



Fig. 2. Gamma-ray spectra for the nuclei 36 Ca, 32 Ar and 28 S. The energies of the 2⁺ states have been determined to be 3036(11) keV, 1873(20) keV and 1525(30) keV, respectively.

energy of the 2⁺ state in ³⁶Ca was determined to be $E(2^+) = 3036(11)$ keV, in agreement with the value measured at GSI in a similar experiment [7]. The estimated $E(2^+)$ for ²⁸S and ³²Ar are 1525(30) keV and 1873(20) keV, respectively, in agreement with [8].

The measured value for the energy of the first 2^+ state in ${}^{36}Ca$ is 255 keV lower than that in the mirror nucleus, ${}^{36}S$. This is, besides ${}^{14}C{-}^{14}O$ where the difference is 422(11) keV, one of the largest mirror energy differences observed

so far for first excited 2^+ state. Qualitatively, this might be explained as the combined effect of: (i) an almost pure neutron nature of the 2^+ state in ³⁶Ca due to the Z = 20 gap, (ii) an almost pure proton nature of the 2^+ state in ³⁶S due to the N = 20 gap, (iii) the almost pure 1-particle 1-hole configurations of the 2^+ states in ³⁶Ca and ³⁶S due to the large N, Z = 16gaps, and (iv) the Coulomb energy difference between proton and neutron s and d states.

Figure 3 shows the momentum distribution for ³⁶Ca in comparison with calculated momentum distributions [9–11] as expected for neutron knock-out from the valence orbitals $d_{3/2}$ and $s_{1/2}$. The width of the inclusive exper-



Fig. 3. Inclusive momentum distribution of ³⁶Ca as measured in SPEG. The distribution is cut by a slit suppressing the secondary ³⁷Ca beam. The calculated momentum distributions for one-neutron removal from $d_{3/2}$ or $s_{1/2}$ states were folded with the shape of the distribution of the secondary ³⁷Ca beam, which was obtained from a dedicated run without the slit.

imental momentum distribution fits well to the neutron knock-out from a $d_{3/2}$ state. From the integral of the extrapolated distribution, the number of 36 Ca ions was determined. Using the number of incident 37 Ca ions and the target thickness, a preliminary experimental cross section for the oneneutron removal 37 Ca $\rightarrow {}^{36}$ Ca of 5.3 (20) mb was obtained, while the calculated cross section is 18.6 mb assuming a knock-out from $\nu d_{3/2}$. The quenching to $\approx 30 \%$ of the calculated value is similar to what has been found in the case of one-neutron knockout from 32 Ar, a nucleus which has a similarly large neutron separation energy [12]. We wish to thank the accelerator crew of GANIL for their smooth running of the secondary beam production and the SPEG technical staff for their support during the experiment. We acknowledge the support from the European Community through the Eurons project Contract No RII3-CT-2004-506065, and from BMBF Germany, Contract No 06 BN 109.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Otsuka et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 232502 (2005).
- [2] M. Stanoiu et al., Eur. Phys. J. A20, 95 (2003).
- [3] E. Baron, J. Gillet, M. Ozille, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A362, 90 (1995).
- [4] S. Ottini-Hustache et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A431, 476 (1999).
- [5] L. Bianchi et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A276, 509 (1989).
- [6] F.A. Beck, Nucl. Sci. Research Conference Series 7, 129 (1984).
- [7] P. Doornenbal *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* B, in press, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.02.001.
- [8] K. Yoneda et al., Phys. Rev. C74, 021303 (2006).
- [9] C.A. Bertulani, P.G. Hansen, Phys. Rev. C70, 034609 (2004).
- [10] C.A. Bertulani, A. Gade, Comput. Phys. Commun. 175, 372 (2006).
- [11] P.G. Hansen, J.A. Tostevin, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 53, 219 (2003).
- [12] A. Gade et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 93, 042501 (2004).