

$f_0(1370)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

See the review on "Scalar Mesons below 2 GeV" and a note on
"Non- $q\bar{q}$ Candidates" in PDG 06, Journal of Physics **G33** 1 (2006).

$f_0(1370)$ T-MATRIX POLE POSITION

Note that $\Gamma \approx 2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s_{\text{pole}}})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1200–1500)–i(150–250) OUR ESTIMATE			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
(1290 ± 50)– i (170 ⁺²⁰ _{−40})	1 ANISOVICH 09	RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$
(1373 ± 15)– i (137 ± 10)	2 BARGIOTTI 03	OBLX	$\bar{p}p$
(1302 ± 17)– i (166 ± 18)	3 BARBERIS 00c		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
(1312 ± 25 ± 10)– i (109 ± 22 ± 15)	BARBERIS 99D	OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-$
(1406 ± 19)– i (80 ± 6)	4 KAMINSKI 99	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma \sigma$
(1300 ± 20)– i (120 ± 20)	ANISOVICH 98B	RVUE	Compilation
(1290 ± 15)– i (145 ± 15)	BARBERIS 97B	OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
(1548 ± 40)– i (560 ± 40)	BERTIN 97c	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
(1380 ± 40)– i (180 ± 25)	ABELE 96B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 K_L^0 K_L^0$
(1300 ± 15)– i (115 ± 8)	BUGG 96	RVUE	
(1330 ± 50)– i (150 ± 40)	5 AMSLER 95B	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
(1360 ± 35)– i (150–300)	5 AMSLER 95C	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
(1390 ± 30)– i (190 ± 40)	6 AMSLER 95D	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
1346 – i 249	7,8 JANSSEN 95	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K\bar{K}$
1214 – i 168	8,9 TORNQVIST 95	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta \pi$
1364 – i 139	AMSLER 94D	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
(1365 ⁺²⁰ _{−55})– i (134 ± 35)	ANISOVICH 94	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta$
(1340 ± 40)– i (127 ⁺³⁰ _{−20})	10 BUGG 94	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta \eta \pi^0, \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
(1430 ± 5)– i (73 ± 13)	11 KAMINSKI 94	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K\bar{K}$
1420 – i 220	12 AU 87	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K\bar{K}$

¹ Another pole is found at $(1510 \pm 130) - i(800 \pm 100)$ MeV.

² Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, and $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$.

³ Average between $\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$ and $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$.

⁴ T-matrix pole on sheet ——.

⁵ Supersedes ANISOVICH 94.

⁶ Coupled-channel analysis of $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta$, and $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ on sheet IV. Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.

⁷ Analysis of data from FALVARD 88.

⁸ The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.

- ⁹ Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.
- ¹⁰ Reanalysis of ANISOVICH 94 data.
- ¹¹ T-matrix pole on sheet III.
- ¹² Analysis of data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74, BECKER 79, and CASON 83.

f₀(1370) BREIT-WIGNER MASS OR K-MATRIX POLE PARAMETER

VALUE (MeV)
1200 to 1500 OUR ESTIMATE

DOCUMENT ID

ππ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1400 ± 40		¹ AUBERT	09L BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
1470^{+6+72}_{-7-255}		² UEHARA	08A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1259 ± 55	2.6k	BONVICINI	07 CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1309 ± 1 ± 15		³ BUGG	07A RVUE	$0.0 p\bar{p} \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1449 ± 13	4.3k	⁴ GARMASH	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1350 ± 50		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
$1265 \pm 30^{+20}_{-35}$		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
1434 ± 18 ± 9	848	AITALA	01A E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1308 ± 10		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
1315 ± 50		BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$
1315 ± 30		ALDE	98 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1280 ± 55		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1186	^{5,6} TORNQVIST	95	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
1472 ± 12		ARMSTRONG	91 OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi, ppK\bar{K}$
1275 ± 20		BREAKSTONE	90 SFM	$62 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+ \pi^-$
1420 ± 20		AKESSON	86 SPEC	$63 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+ \pi^-$
1256		FROGGATT	77 RVUE	$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

¹ Breit-Wigner mass.

² Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the f₀(1500).

³ Reanalysis of ABELE 96C data.

⁴ Also observed by GARMASH 07 in $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.

⁵ Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

⁶ Also observed by ASNER 00 in $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$ decays

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1422 $\pm 15 \pm 28$	¹ AAIJ	19H	LHCb	$p p \rightarrow D^\pm X$
1360 $\pm 31 \pm 28$	430 ^{2,3} DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1350 $\pm 48 \pm 15$	168 ^{2,3} DOBBS	15		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$
1440 ± 6		VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1391 ± 10		TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1440 ± 50		BOLONKIN	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1463 ± 9		ETKIN	MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
1425 ± 15		WICKLUND	SPEC	$6 \pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
~ 1300		POLYCHRO...	STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$

¹ From the $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the isobar model A.

² Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

³ From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 346$ MeV.

 4π MODE $2(\pi\pi)s+\rho\rho$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1395 ± 40		ABELE	01	$CBAR \quad 0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
1374 ± 38		AMSLER	94	$CBAR \quad 0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
1345 ± 12		ADAMO	93	$OBLX \quad \bar{n}p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1386 ± 30		GASPERO	93	$DBC \quad 0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
~ 1410	5751	¹ BETTINI	66	$DBC \quad 0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

¹ $\rho\rho$ dominant.

 $\eta\eta$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1262 $^{+51}_{-78} {}^{+82}_{-103}$	¹ UEHARA	10A	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$
1430	AMSLER	92	$CBAR \quad 0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
1220 ± 40	ALDE	86D	$GAM4 \quad 100 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2\eta$

¹ Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

COUPLED CHANNEL MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1330.2 $^{+5.9}_{-6.5} \pm 5.1$	¹ AAIJ	19H	$LHCb \quad p p \rightarrow D^\pm X$
1306 ± 20	² ANISOVICH	03	RVUE
1 From the $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the Triple-M amplitude in the multi-meson model of AOUCHE 18.			
2 K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K} n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta\eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.			

$f_0(1370)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
200 to 500 OUR ESTIMATE	

 $\pi\pi$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
300 ± 80		¹ AUBERT	09L BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
90 + 2 + 50 1 - 22		² UEHARA	08A BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
298 ± 21	2.6k	BONVICINI	07 CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
126 ± 25	4286	³ GARMASH	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
265 ± 40		ABLIKIM	05 BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$
350 ± 100 + 105 - 60		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
173 ± 32 ± 6	848	AITALA	01A E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
222 ± 20		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_S p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
255 ± 60		BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$
190 ± 50		ALDE	98 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
323 ± 13		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
350		^{4,5} TORNQVIST	95 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
195 ± 33		ARMSTRONG	91 OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow p p \pi\pi, p p K\bar{K}$
285 ± 60		BREAKSTONE	90 SFM	$62 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
460 ± 50		AKESSON	86 SPEC	$63 pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
~ 400		⁶ FROGGATT	77 RVUE	$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

¹ The systematic errors are not reported.² Breit-Wigner width. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.³ Also observed by GARMASH 07 in $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.⁴ Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.⁵ Also observed by ASNER 00 in $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$ decays⁶ Width defined as distance between 45 and 135° phase shift. **$K\bar{K}$ MODE**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
324 ± 38 ± 42	¹ AAIJ	19H LHCb	$pp \rightarrow D^\pm X$
121 ± 15	VLADIMIRSK...06	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
55 ± 26	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	$40.0 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
250 ± 80	BOLONKNIN	88 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
118 + 138 - 16	ETKIN	82B MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
160 ± 30	WICKLUND	80 SPEC	$6 \pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
~ 150	POLYCHRO...	79 STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$

¹ From the $D^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the isobar model A.

4π MODE $2(\pi\pi)_S + \rho\rho$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
275 \pm 55		ABELE 01	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
375 \pm 61		AMSLER 94	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
398 \pm 26		ADAMO 93	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
310 \pm 50		GASPERO 93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
~ 90	5751	¹ BETTINI 66	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
$^1 \rho\rho$ dominant.				

 $\eta\eta$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$484^{+246}_{-170}{}^{+246}_{-263}$	¹ UEHARA 10A	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\eta$
250	AMSLER 92	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
320 \pm 40	ALDE 86D	GAM4 100	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$

¹ Breit-Wigner width. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

COUPLED CHANNEL MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
147^{+30}_{-50}	¹ ANISOVICH 03	RVUE	
$^1 K$ -matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}n$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \eta\eta$, $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $K^+ K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest, $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^0$, $K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^-$ at rest.			

 $f_0(1370)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 4\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 4\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \rho\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_7 2(\pi\pi)_S$ -wave	seen
$\Gamma_8 \pi(1300)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_9 a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10} \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_{11} K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{12} K\bar{K}n\pi$	not seen

Γ_{13}	6π	not seen
Γ_{14}	$\omega\omega$	not seen
Γ_{15}	$\gamma\gamma$	seen
Γ_{16}	e^+e^-	not seen

$f_0(1370)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

See $\gamma\gamma$ widths under $f_0(500)$ and MORGAN 90.

Γ_{15}

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<20	90	VOROBIEV	88	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$

Γ_{16}

$f_0(1370) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{10}\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$121^{+133+169}_{-53-106}$	¹ UEHARA	10A BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \eta\eta$
----------------------------	---------------------	----------	---

¹ Including interference with the $f'_2(1525)$ (parameters fixed to the values from the 2008 edition of this review, PDG 08) and $f_2(1270)$. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

$f_0(1370)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.10	95	OCHS	13	RVUE
0.26 ± 0.09		BUGG	96	RVUE
<0.15		¹ AMSLER	94	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$
<0.06		GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Using AMSLER 95B ($3\pi^0$).

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.72	GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
-------	---------	----	---

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

Γ_3/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	ABELE	96	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
0.068 ± 0.005	¹ GASPERO	93	DBC $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹ Model-dependent evaluation.

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			

0.420 \pm 0.014 ¹ GASPERO 93 DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$ ¹ Model-dependent evaluation. $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			

0.512 \pm 0.019 ¹ GASPERO 93 DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons¹ Model-dependent evaluation. $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			

0.26 \pm 0.07 ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$ Γ_6/Γ_2 $\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			

5.6 \pm 2.6 ¹ ABELE 01 CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$ ¹ From the combined data of ABELE 96 and ABELE 96c. $\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			

0.51 \pm 0.09 ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$ Γ_7/Γ_1 $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ large BARBERIS 00C 450 $p p \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$
1.6 \pm 0.2 AMSLER 94 CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
 ~ 0.65 GASPERO 93 DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons Γ_6/Γ_7 $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			

0.17 \pm 0.06 ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$ Γ_8/Γ_2 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			

0.06 \pm 0.02 ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow 5\pi p$ Γ_9/Γ_2

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ VALUEDOCUMENT ID $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{10}/(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$ TECNCOMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$(28 \pm 11) \times 10^{-3}$
 $(4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$

¹ ANISOVICH 02D SPEC
BARBERIS 00E

Combined fit

$450 \text{ } pp \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$

¹ From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\eta\eta$, $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$, $\eta\eta n$, $\eta\eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K} n$) data.

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUEDOCUMENT IDTECN Γ_{11}/Γ

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.35 ± 0.13

BUGG 96 RVUE

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ VALUEDOCUMENT IDTECN Γ_{11}/Γ_1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.08 ± 0.08
 0.91 ± 0.20
 0.12 ± 0.06
 $0.46 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.11$

ABLIKIM 05 BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-$, ϕK^+K^-

¹ BARGIOTTI 03 OBLX $\bar{p}p$

² ANISOVICH 02D SPEC Combined fit

BARBERIS 99D OMEG $450 \text{ } pp \rightarrow K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^+K^-\pi^0$, and $K^\pm K_S^0\pi^\mp$.

² From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\eta\eta$, $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$, $\eta\eta n$, $\eta\eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \rightarrow K\bar{K} n$) data.

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}n\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUEDOCUMENT IDTECN Γ_{12}/Γ

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.03

GASPERO 93 DBC $0.0 \text{ } \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(6\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUEDOCUMENT IDTECN Γ_{13}/Γ

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.22

GASPERO 93 DBC $0.0 \text{ } \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUEDOCUMENT IDTECN Γ_{14}/Γ

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.13

GASPERO 93 DBC $0.0 \text{ } \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$f_0(1370)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	19H	JHEP 1904 063	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AOUDE	18	PR D98 056021	R.T. Aoude <i>et al.</i>	
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)
OCHS	13	JP G40 043001	W. Ochs	
UEHARA	10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
AUBERT	09L	PR D79 072006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
UEHARA	08A	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BONVICINI	07	PR D76 012001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUGG	07A	JP G34 151	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	
GARMASH	07	PR D75 012006	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GARMASH	06	PRL 96 251803	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
VLADIMIRSK...	06	PAN 69 493	V.V. Vladimirsy <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, Moscow)
		Translated from YAF 69 515.		
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
GARMASH	05	PR D71 092003	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH	03	EPJ A16 229	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARGIOTTI	03	EPJ C26 371	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 66 860.		
ANISOVICH	02D	PAN 65 1545	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 65 1583.		
ABELE	01	EPJ C19 667	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AITALA	01A	PRL 86 765	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
ASNER	00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
BARBERIS	99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
BELLAZZINI	99	PL B467 296	R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i>	
KAMINSKI	99	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	(CRAC, PARIN)
ALDE	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)
Also		PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 62 446.		
ANISOVICH	98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from UFN 168 481.		
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	96	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	96B	PL B385 425	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BUGG	96	NP B471 59	D.V. Bugg, A.V. Sarantsev, B.S. Zou	(LOQM, PNPI)
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
JANSSEN	95	PR D52 2690	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i>	(STON, ADLD, JULI)
TORNQVIST	95	ZPHY C68 647	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
AMSLER	94	PL B322 431	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
KAMINSKI	94	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P. Maillet	(CRAC+)
ADAMO	93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.) JPC
GASPERO	93	NP A562 407	M. Gaspero	(ROMAI) JPC
AMSLER	92	PL B291 347	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BREAKSTONE	90	ZPHY C48 569	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i>	(ISU, BGNA, CERN+)
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)
VOROBIEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48 436.		

AU	87	PR D35 1633	K.L. Au, D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington (DURH, RAL)
AKESSON	86	NP B264 154	T. Akesson <i>et al.</i> (Axial Field Spec. Collab.)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i> (BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
CASON	83	PR D28 1586	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i> (NDAM, ANL)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i> (BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
WICKLUND	80	PRL 45 1469	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i> (ANL)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i> (MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i> (NDAM, ANL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen (GLAS, NORD)
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet <i>et al.</i> (GEVA, SACL)
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i> (CERN, MPIM)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i> (CERN, MPIM)
OCHS	73	Thesis	W. Ochs (MPIM, MUNI)
BEIER	72B	PRL 29 511	E.W. Beier <i>et al.</i> (PENN)
BETTINI	66	NC 42A 695	A. Bettini <i>et al.</i> (PADO, PISA)